## ALPINE SCHOOL DISTRICT EDUCATOR EVALUATION FORM →TEACHING PROFILE←

EDUCATOR:	CACTUS #:
SCHOOL:	GRADE/SUBJECT:
MENTOR:	LICENSE LEVEL:

STANDAF	RD & PERFORMANCE EXPECTATIONS	Not Effective	Emerging/ Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	
1	Learner Development					
1.1	Creates Challenging Learning Experiences					
1.2	Collaborates to Promote Student Growth					
2	Learning Differences					
2.1	Allows Differentiated Demonstrations of Learning with High Expectations					
3	Learning Environments					
3.1	Engages and Supports Students					
3.2	Collaborates to Create Positive & Respectful Interactions					
3.3	Uses Positive Classroom Management Strategies	No		Yes		
1	Content Knowledge					
4.1	Accurate Multiple Representations & Academic Language					
5	Assessment					
5.1	Uses Data to Assess, Plan, & Adjust Instruction					
5.2	Documents Progress & Provides Feedback					
5	Instructional Planning					
6.1	Knowledge of Utah Core & References it in Planning					
6.2	Integrates Cross-Disciplinary Skills to Engage Learners					
7	Instructional Strategies					
7.1	Practices a Range of Instructional Strategies					
7.2	Provides Opportunities for Students to Develop Thinking Skills					
7.3	Supports Learner's Communication Skills					
7.4	Uses a Variety of Technology Resources to Support Learning					
7.5	Develops Learners Abilities to Solve Problems					
8	Reflection and Continuous Growth					
8.1	Adapts and Improves Practice	No		Yes		
9	Leadership and Collaboration				<u>c</u> 5	
9.1	Active in the Decision-Making Process & Building of Culture	No		Yes		
9.2	Advocates for Learners, the School, Community & Profession	No		Yes		
10	Professional and Ethical Behavior		0		<b>C</b> 3	
10.1	Is Responsible for Compliance with Federal and State Rules & Policies	No		Yes		
10.2	Is Responsible for Compliance with USOE Rules at all Levels of Teacher Development	No		Yes		
OVERAL	L RATINGS FOR:	Not Effective	Emerging/ Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	
• Pe	rformance Expectation - 70%					
	udent Growth - SLO & SAGE Testing - 20%					
	akeholder Input - 10%					
FINAL SUMMATIVE:		Not Effective	Emerging/ Minimally Effective	Effective	Highly Effective	
	ting					
Principal S	ignature:	Date:				
Educator's Signature:			Date:			

A Level 1 educator who has received a score of "Not Effective" in any category will be subject to the provisions of Policy 4057a Procedure (Regularly Scheduled Evaluations). A Level 2 educator rated overall as "Minimally Effective" must achieve an overall rating of at least "Effective" on the next evaluation or termination of contract will be recommended. Level 2 educators whose performance has been rated "Not Effective" will be recommended for termination of contract.